

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/05/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/823,138	03/30/2001	Richard Bardini	020699000600	8672
37490	7590 05/05/2005		EXAMINER	
CARPENTER & KULAS, LLP 1900 EMBARCADERO ROAD			LY, ANI	H VU H
SUITE 109	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			PAPER NUMBER
PALO ALTO	, CA 94303		2667	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	٠,	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/823,138	BARDINI, RICHARD
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Anh-Vu H Ly	2667
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet t	with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the magnitude of the part of	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of the field will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC atute, cause the application to become a	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T Since this application is in condition for allocation accordance with the practice under	his action is non-final. wance except for formal ma	•
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 4-6 and 10-27 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) ☐ Claim(s) 10 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 4-6 and 11-27 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.	
	accepted or b) objected to	·
Applicant may not request that any objection to	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	` <u>'</u>
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No	y Summary (PTO-413) D(s)/Mail Date FInformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2667

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This communication is in response to applicant's amendment filed December 06, 2004. Claims 4-6 and 10-27 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 4, 6, 11-16, 18-20, 22-25, and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Movshovich et al (US Patent No. 6,434,146 B1).

With respect to claims 4 and 19, Movshovich discloses in Fig. 6, a block diagram illustrating the operation of the local header unit 400 in the transport demultiplexing system. Herein, the local header unit 400 includes a packet timestamp counter 420 (an isochronous data processor configured to process isochronous data packets), multiplexing unit 422, and local header state machine 426 (a firmware control configured to control operation of isochronous data processor). As illustrated in Figs. 7A and 7B, a local header (data marker) is generated and inserted at the start of each transport packet (a data marker inserted at beginning of each of isochronous data packets). Movshovich discloses (col. 7, lines 57-59) that the transport packets, along with the associated local header, are forwarded to a FIFO 322 to eventually be stored to memory (a storage medium for storing data markers and their associated isochronous data

Application/Control Number: 09/823,138

Art Unit: 2667

packets). Movshovich discloses (col. 11, lines 40-46) that byte 2 of the local header stores a counter value and is an incremental counter value which increments for every transport packet received. This counter value serves as time stamp information to retain the real-time information (isochronous data packet) of the transport stream, which can be used to maintain synchronization of an IEEE 1394 interface (wherein isochronous data processor uses data marker to resynchronize data delivery to the device when data delivery contains a corrupted packet).

With respect to claims 6 and 12, Movshovich discloses (col. 11, lines 40-46) that byte 2 of the local header stores a counter value and is an incremental counter value which increments for every transport packet received. This counter value serves as time stamp information to retain the real-time information of the transport stream, which can be used to maintain synchronization of an IEEE 1394 interface (isochronous data packets are transmitted in accordance with IEEE 1394 specification).

With respect to claims 11 and 20, Movshovich discloses (col. 11, lines 40-46) that this counter value serves as time stamp information to retain the real-time information of the transport stream, which can be used to maintain synchronization of an IEEE 1394 interface (upon retrieving data from storage medium, isochronous data processor uses data marker to synchronize data delivery to the device).

With respect to claims 13 and 22, Movshovich discloses in Figs. 7A and 7B that the entry includes the local header, MPEG header, and MPEG payload (a record is stored in a buffer in the following order: the data marker, isochronous header, and a plurality of data packets).

With respect to claims 14 and 23, Movshovich discloses (col. 7, lines 57-59) that the transport packets, along with the associated local header, are forwarded to a FIFO 322 to eventually be stored to memory (wherein the record is stored on a hard disk).

With respect to claims 15-16 and 24-25, Movshovich discloses in Figs. 7A and 7B that the local header indicates the start of a packet in a frame (data marker is used to determine the start of a packet and the packet is synchronized to the start of frame).

With respect to claims 18 and 27, Movshovich discloses in Fig. 1, a set-top box for delivering information to the requested television 122 (wherein resynchronization of data delivery is in response to a request for data from the device).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/823,138 Page 5

Art Unit: 2667

3. Claims 5, 17, 21, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Movshovich et al (US Patent No. 6,434,146 B1) in view of Lynn et al (US Pub 2004/0125825 A1).

With respect to claims 5, 17, 21 and 26, Movshovich discloses in Fig. 6, a local header unit for generating a local header. Movshovich does not disclose that data delivery begins with an isochronous data packet, which corresponds to a next frame boundary. Lynn discloses in page 1, 6th paragraph, that if the first packet of a frame is received outside of this boundary, the entire frame is generally discarded and not processed by the receiving device. This implies that if a packet in a frame is not delivered on time, the frame is discarded and the processing begins with the next packet of the next frame delivery. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the features of dropping and delivering only the next uncorrupted frame in Movshovich's system, as suggested by Lynn, to prevent jitters when displaying in the receiving device.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claim 10 is allowed.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 4-6 and 10-27 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anh-Vu H Ly whose telephone number is 571-272-3175. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00am - 4:00pm.

Application/Control Number: 09/823,138 .

Art Unit: 2667

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chi Pham can be reached on 571-272-3179. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

avl

CHI PHAM

SUPERVISURY PATENT EXAMINATION